

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	_
09/669,784	09/25/2000	Gordon Israelson	00P7919US	3754	_
75	01/02/2002				
Siemens Corporation			EXAMINER . ,		
186 Wood Ave			YUAN, DAH WEI D		
Iselin, NJ 0883			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	-
			1745		
			DATE MAILED: 01/02/2002	· · >	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			mx-3				
~	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/669,784	ISRAELSON, GO	ISRAELSON, GORDON				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Dah-Wei D. Yuan	1745					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a not within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered time THS from the mailing date of this of the control of th					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ d	isapproved by the Examin	ier.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in A	pplication No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•		al application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has be	een received.	,,				
Attachment(s)	- p y wilder de eleie.	33 1=0 Silaror 1=1.					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No nformal Patent Application (PT					

Application/Control Number: 09/669,784 Page 1 of 3

Art Unit: 1745

<u>DESULFURIZATION FOR FUEL CELL SYSTEMS USING</u> <u>SULFUR SEPARATING MEMBRANES</u>

Examiner: Yuan S.N. 09/669,784 Art Unit: 1745 December 28, 2001

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. The term "essentially" in claims 1,7,10 is a relative terms which render the claims indefinite. The term "substantially" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/669,784

Art Unit: 1745

5. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carnell et al. (US 4,978,439) in view of Preston, Jr. (US 4,202,865).

Carnell et al. disclose a desulphurization process for a feedstock stream comprising a hydrocarbon such as natural gas. The sulphur compounds initially present in the feedstock stream include hydrogen sulphide and/or carbonyl sulphide, and possibly carbon disulphide, methyl mercaptan, diethyl sulphide, and/or tetrahydrothiophene. For many applications the maximum acceptable sulphur content may be below 1 ppm, or even below 0.1 ppm. Carnell et al. first teach a separation stage including a membrane separation or sorption using a regenerable absorbent material. After the membrane separation, a concentrated sulphur compoundcontaining stream is subjected to a hydrodesulphurization process and subsequent sulphur removal stages to produce an effluent stream of reduced sulphur content. See Column 1, Lines 1-25; Column 2, Lines 6-13; 41-53; Column 6, Lines 44-55. However, Carnell et al. do not teach the use of the resulting feed fuel for a fuel cell system. Preston, Jr. discloses that reduction in the sulphur content in the fuel feed is desirable for economic considerations relative to the life and performance of the steam reform reactor catalyst in fuel cell power plants. See Column 1, Lines 15-25. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to operate fuel cell power plants using a desulphurized natural gas as the feed for the reforming operation, because Preston, Jr. teaches low sulphur content is critical for the life and performance of the power plant. In addition, the disclosure of Carnell et al. differs from Applicant's claims in that Carnell et al. do not specifically discuss the compression of sulfur-containing fuel gas to 3

Application/Control Number: 09/669,784

Art Unit: 1745

atmospheres and the measurement of gas flow in the downstream of the membrane. It is well

known in the art that pressure differential is essential to operate the membrane and flow rates are

Page 3 of 3

proportional to the pressure differences. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art to pressurize the fuel gas stream above 304 kpa and measure the

downstream gas flow, because one of ordinary skill in the fuel cell art would recognize the need

to correlate gas flow rate with the effective operation of the membrane.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Dah-Wei D. Yuan whose telephone number is (703) 308-0766.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gabrielle Brouillette can be reached on (703) 308-0756. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2340.

Dah-Wei D. Yuan

December 28, 2001

CAROL CHANEY

PRIMARY EXAMINED